

Rhinology Evaluation Form CME

Description

Rhinology Symposium Evaluation form

Evaluation Form

Rhinology Symposium 2024

Full name Email Profession Doctor Nurse
 Other

Please rate the overall aspects of the symposium on the basis of:

Education content Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Relevance to practise Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Questions and discussions Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Oral presentations Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Quality of presenters Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Selection of topics Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Overall quality of activity Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding

Please rate the venue on the basis of:

Rooms for sessions Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Catering Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding
Entertainment Poor Below average Average Above average Outstanding

How did you hear about the symposium?

Checkbox Field Online E-mail Word of mouth Other

Do you have any other comments? Any feedback is appreciated!

Previous Next

EACCME participant's evaluation form

1) Quality of the event

How useful for your professional activity did you find this event? Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) If this activity was not useful, please explain why:

What was your overall impression of the event? Very poor (1) Poor (2) Good (3) Excellent (4) What was the best aspect of this event? What was the worst aspect of this event?

2) Relevance of the event

Did the event fulfil your educational goals and expected learning outcomes? Not at all (1) Not much (2) Somewhat (3) Very much (4) Was the presented information well balanced and consistently supported by a valid scientific evidence base? Not at all (1) Not much (2) Somewhat (3) Very much (4)

2.3) How useful to you personally was each session?

Session 1: Introductory Session - Nasal Surgery Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) Session 2: Sinus Surgery Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) Session 3: Inflammation Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) Session 4: Tumours of the Nose: Benign and Malignant Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) Session 5: Allergy Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4) Session 6: Facial Pain Not useful (1) Fairly useful (2) Useful (3) Extremely useful (4)

3) Suitability of formats used during the event

Was there adequate time available for discussions, questions & answers and learner engagement? Never (1) Only rarely (2) Sometimes (3) Always/almost always (4) Can you indicate any innovative elements during the activity?

4) Ways the event affects clinical practice

Will the information you learnt be implemented in your practice? Not at all (1) Not much (2) Somewhat (3) Very much (4) Can you provide ONE example how this event will influence your future practice?

5) Commercial bias

Did all the faculty members provide their potential conflicts of interest declaration with the sponsor(s) as a second slide of their presentation? No (1) Yes, but only a small part (2) Yes, for the majority (3) Yes, all (4) Can you provide an example of biased presentation in this activity? Do you agree that the information was overall free of commercial and other bias? Strongly disagree (1) Rather disagree (2) Rather agree (3) Strongly agree (4)

[Previous](#)

[Submit Form](#)

Date Created

September 5, 2024

Author

Alexander